

Work and the Employment Relationship

Meso, Micro, and Macro Level Influences on Stakeholders in Employment Relationships

All three levels of stakeholders; the governments, the employers and the trade unions, are the groups or bodies that have a vested interest in a business. They play a pivotal role in shaping the organization by their influence on different interests. Their roles and responsibilities deeply affect a variety of contexts in a company's success and failure. The roles and responsibilities of these stakeholders in shaping the employment relationship are deeply influenced by the contexts in which they operate. These contexts can be broadly categorized into micro, meso, and macro levels, each affecting how these stakeholders function and interact.

At the micro level, direct workplace interactions and organizational culture play crucial roles. The meso level works in industry-specific dynamics and regional conditions, while the macro level is all about broader economic, social, and political forces. (Carstensen *et al*, 2022. P.3-21)

Micro-Level

Personal and direct interactions between employers and employees are the main points of emphasis at the micro level. This is defined by regular operations, organizational culture, leadership styles and interpersonal relationships. In this situation, employers are directly responsible for managing employees. They develop workplace guidelines, monitor employee performance, and promote an effective work environment. The organizational culture of an employer has a huge influence on the behaviour and thinking of their employees. For example, increased job satisfaction and employee engagement can result from an environment that encourages open communication and recognizes its value. While the employees immediately experience the effects of employer policies and practices. Their work environment affects their motivation, performance and satisfaction level. A strong psychological alliance in which employees feel valued and respected can result in positive micro-level alignment, which will increase their commitment to the company. Trade unions address specific grievances and issues facing employees in their specific workplaces to work on a micro level. In addition to negotiating to improve working conditions and ensuring that employers comply with agreements, they provide assistance and representation in disputes with employers. (Guzzo *et al*, 2020. pp.332-352)

Meso-Level

The meso level works on industry-specific factors, regional market conditions, and sector-related regulations. This context includes the broader organizational environment within industries and sectors.

Meso-level employers follow industry regulations, competition and industry standards. For example, companies must ensure that they adhere to strict standards in highly regulated fields such as banking or healthcare that affect their hiring practices. Industry standards affect working conditions, benefits offered and both pay scales and labour relations. Trade Unions at the meso-level business groups plan to campaign and consult across sectors. To ensure fair treatment of employees regardless of the particular employer, fair working conditions are required throughout the enterprise. For example, in the construction industry, companies can enter into contracts that cover fair wages and safety rules that apply to all projects. Governments impose industry-specific rules and regulations that have a meso level. In specific industries, these laws provide minimum standards for wages, benefits and safety. Policies at this level of government can have the greatest impact on labour relations because they force companies to make changes that affect the entire industry. (Faist, 2021. pp. 187-217)

Macro-Level

The macro level incorporates a wider level of social, political and economic workforce that influences the employment standards. This includes national and international economic trends, labour laws, and societal values. Governments at the macro level induce the legal substructure on which all employment relationships work. Economic policies, national labour laws and social security systems denote how employment relationships assemble. For example, minimum wage laws, anti-discrimination policies, and health and safety regulations structure the base of healthy employment practices across the country. Employers are influenced by macro-level economic conditions such as unemployment rates, global market trends and inflation. Employers are forced to implement cost-cutting operations because of economic drawbacks, which directly affect job security and degrade employee morale. On the contrary, economic growth can create more jobs and improve inflation conditions. Trade Unions work at the macro level by engaging in national policies and influencing labour legislation. They promote the laws that protect workers' rights,

promote fair wages, and enhance working situations. They also participate in international labour movements, advocating for global labour standards. (Schaltegger, *et al*, 2022. pp.480-500)

Real-World Examples in the Automobile Industry

Case Study 1: Ford Motor Company

The U.S. Government's federal regulations on pollution and fuel economy standards had a significant impact on the Ford Motor Company in the early 2000s. These regulations demanded Ford to innovate more fuel-efficient vehicles, which led to more workforces. Ford invested heavily in retraining workers to meet this new demand. This strengthened the company's capabilities and allowed employees to prepare for future technological innovations. The result was a more innovative workforce capable of keeping Ford competitive in a rapidly evolving market. (Reyes 2013. P.2014)

Case Study 2: General Motors (GM)

As seen in the 2019 strike, the United Auto Workers (UAW) union had a significant impact on GM workers. The union's negotiation led to significant changes in wages, healthcare benefits, and job security measures for GM employees. This strike resulted in a new four-year contract that addressed many labour issues and increased wages and job security. But it also had immediate financial consequences for GM, with the company reportedly losing \$3 billion due to the production shutdown. This example illustrates how union influence can fundamentally change the nature of the employment relationship, equalize power relations, and at the same time cause financial difficulties for the employer. (Markison, 2010)

Evaluation

Labour law and government policy affect employee relations. Effective labour laws that protect workers' rights and promote fair practices are essential for sustaining balanced employment growth. The government plays an important role in creating a legal framework that ensures the establishment of fair and healthy labour relations. By establishing labour laws, minimum wage requirements, workplace safety laws, and mandatory benefits such as health insurance and parental leave, governments create the foundations of fairness and safety. By preventing labour

exploitation and promising basic working conditions, these policies contribute to safe and satisfying labour relations. (Harper, *et al*, 2021.)

Strong working relationships are often fostered by employers who place a high priority on employee engagement and well-being. Offering competitive compensation, providing opportunities for career advancement, and fostering a welcoming workplace culture are examples of effective strategies. A great example of how employee value can drive organizational success is Ford's strategy.

Employees have a lot of influence in shaping their work experience. A happy work environment is created by employees who are actively involved in their work, provide feedback and participate in the decision-making process. Performance and job satisfaction are generally better in industries like technology where employees are first and foremost. (Ahmed, *et al*, 2020. p.2158244020962779)

Conclusion

This study examined the roles and responsibilities of government, employers and unions in shaping industrial relations, with an emphasis on how their influences operate at the micro, meso and macro levels. Through real-world examples from the automobile industry—such as Ford and General Motors, we have seen how each stakeholder contributes to promoting positive employment relationships and addressing conflicts.

Key Findings:

All three stakeholders must work together to create a balanced working relationship. It can contribute to increased job satisfaction, productivity and cohesion in the workplace by focusing on fair and equitable strategies, ultimately leading to long-term organizational and social improvements.

Governments provide minimum wages, benefits and safety requirements and provide the regulatory framework necessary for fair employment practices. This regulatory role is essential to maintain justice and protect workers' rights. Employers play a key role in managing day-to-day business interactions and implementing HR systems that create a happy work environment. Effective employer initiatives improve employee productivity and engagement, which are critical

to organizational success. Trade unions promote fair treatment, negotiate in terms of better working conditions and protect workers' rights. Their involvement in grievance procedures and collective bargaining is essential to the balance of power between employers and employees.

Constructive communication between stakeholders is important to maintain a fair and sustainable business process. Employers provide good working conditions, the government lays the groundwork for legislation and unions ensure that employees' views are valued and heard. Each stakeholder's effectiveness in their role contributes to a stable and positive work environment, which is essential for both economic performance and social well-being.

References

Carstensen M.B., Ibsen C.L. and Schmidt V.A., (2022). 'Ideas and power in employment relations studies'. *Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society*, 61(1), pp.3-21. Online available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/irel.12302> Last accessed on: 12-06-2024.

Guzzo R.F., Abbott J. and Madera J.M., (2020). 'A micro-level view of CSR: A hospitality management systematic literature review'. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 61(3), pp.332-352. Online available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120294> Last accessed on: 12-06-2024.

Faist T., 2021. 'The crucial meso-level'. In *International migration, immobility and development* (pp. 187-217). Routledge. Online available at: https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=JMFy_YwEN5EC&oi=fnd&pg=PA59&dq=meso+level+approach&ots=S83GeOlcZv&sig=4vYBWieDQ6SpR7utcFuFu7j3onQ&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=meso%20level%20approach&f=false Last accessed on: 13-06-2024.

Schaltegger S., Christ K.L., Wenzig J. and Burritt R.L., (2022). 'Corporate sustainability management accounting and multi-level links for sustainability—A systematic review'. *International journal of management reviews*, 24(4), pp.480-500. Online available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12288> Last accessed on: 13-06-2024.

Reyes L.C., (2013). 'Ford and General Motors corporate sustainability reports: a critical discourse analysis'. *Found in nature*.
berkeley.edu/classes/es196/projects/2013final/ReyesL_2013.pdf on September, 24, p.2014.

Online available at:

https://nature.berkeley.edu/classes/es196/projects/2013final/ReyesL_2013.pdf Last accessed on: 13-06-2024.

Markison E.L., (2010) 'A Dynamic Approach to Stakeholder Theory: A Case Study of Labor - Management Relations at General Motors' Bucknell University. Online available at:

https://digitalcommons.bucknell.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1016&context=honors_theses. Last accessed on: 13-06-2024.

Harper M.C., Estreicher S. and Griffith K., (2021). 'Labor Law: Cases, Materials, and Problems'. Aspen Publishing. Online accessed at:

<https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ZgVDEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT30&dq=labour+laws+in+business&ots=eXiwOaGjgl&sig=Xh7J4M-WRihAWBrhll6F-vq4HZU#v=onepage&q=labour%20laws%20in%20business&f=false> Last accessed on: 13-06-2024.

Ahmed U., Umrani W.A., Zaman U., Rajput S.M. and Aziz T., (2020). 'Corporate entrepreneurship and business performance: The mediating role of employee engagement'. Sage Open, 10(4), p.2158244020962779. Online available at:

<https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020962779> Last accessed on: 13-06-2024.